



CITY OF CHICAGO  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

20  
26

# Recommendation to Reopen Chicago Police Department Disciplinary Investigation

CPD Log #2024-0008140

OIG Case #C2025-000000221

June 25, 2026

June 25, 2026

Pursuant to the Municipal Code of Chicago (MCC) § 2-56-230(c)(ii), the Public Safety section of the City of Chicago Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducts reviews of individual closed disciplinary investigations conducted by the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA) and the Chicago Police Department's (CPD) Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA). Based on those reviews, OIG may make a recommendation to reopen the investigation to address a deficiency materially affecting its outcome.

BIA investigated allegations that a CPD Field Training Officer (FTO) Roy Ariza failed to inventory a cell phone and gym shoes belonging to a juvenile subject. BIA sustained both allegations and recommended a three-day suspension.

During its review, OIG learned that FTO Ariza told BIA they took specific steps to identify the owner of a recovered cell phone and gym shoes before discarding them. However, body worn camera (BWC) footage showed FTO Ariza commenting to other officers that they were aware the property belonged to a subject they pursued on foot. OIG also identified that the investigative case file contained an incomplete Foot Pursuit Report completed by FTO Ariza and PPO Issac Aguiniga, and that they did not make the required notifications of their foot pursuit in a timely manner. Further, OIG identified an incomplete Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) completed by Officer Roderick C. Subijano, who did not report a search of a subject's backpack at the scene.

OIG recommended that BIA reopen the investigation to conduct an analysis of CPD's Rule 14 prohibiting false reports for FTO Ariza's statements to BIA, determine whether PPO Aguiniga followed CPD procedures on foot pursuits, and determine whether Officer Subijano violated CPD Rules by failing to include the search of a subject's backpack on their report.

In response to OIG's recommendations, BIA declined to reopen the investigation without providing an explanation. OIG's letter to BIA containing its recommendations is attached Appendix A, BIA response is attached at Appendix B.

# Appendix A | OIG Letter



Deborah Witzburg | Inspector General  
City of Chicago  
Office of Inspector General  
231 S. LaSalle Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Phone: (773) 478-7799

## Via Electronic Mail

June 10, 2025

TIMOTHY L. MOORE  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT  
3510 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE  
CHICAGO, IL 60653

Re: Log #2024-0008140

Dear Deputy Director Moore:

Pursuant to § 2-56-230(c)(ii) of the Municipal Code of Chicago (MCC), the Office of Inspector General's (OIG) Public Safety section has conducted a preliminary review of the investigation conducted by the Chicago Police Department's (CPD) Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA) in Log #2024-0008140. OIG recommends BIA reopen the investigation to investigate all potential rule violations and to conduct an analysis of whether the accused member's conduct constitutes a violation of CPD's Rule 14 prohibiting false reports, written or oral. Log #2024-0008140 is currently in a Command Channel Review status.

Log #2024-0008140 concerns allegations that CPD Field Training Officer (FTO) Roy Ariza, Star #14530, "failed to inventory a cellphone belonging to [the complainant's son] during an incident documented under Event #2430604478 in direct violation of SO07-01" and "failed to inventory a pair of gym shoes belonging to [the complainant's son] during an incident documented under Event #2430604478 in direct violation of SO07-01."<sup>1</sup> BIA sustained both allegations and recommended a three-day suspension.

This case was initiated by the subject's mother who alleged that CPD officers took the subject's gym shoes and a cell phone after a foot pursuit. Per CPD's Event Query Report #2430411605, on October 30, 2024, at approximately 5:47 p.m., FTO Ariza, Probationary Police Officer (PPO) Issac Aguiniga, Star #6082, and additional CPD members responded to a call of Criminal Trespass in Progress. The Office of Emergency Communications and Management (OEMC) personnel noted that the caller stated, "there are 2 teenagers trying to open backdoor of abandoned house that is up for sale."<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Attachment 42, p. 4 and 5.

<sup>2</sup> Event Query Report #2430411605, p. 6, accessed from Internal Folder.

FTO Ariza's body worn camera (BWC) footage captures FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga arrive at the location of the service address and speak with the 911 caller who states it was the "third or fourth time I've seen a couple kids just chilling back there and I saw them tug on the door so I know nobody lives there."<sup>3</sup> The caller points out the vacant home to the responding CPD members and they relocate to the property identified by the caller. While walking along the left side of the rear of the property, FTO Ariza states, "What you got – ay, right there. Right there. What's these guys doing?" as unknown subjects jump over the fence on the right side of the property. FTO Ariza pushes past three other CPD members on scene and instructs PPO Aguiniga to run after the subjects.<sup>4</sup>

The BWC footage of responding CPD Officer Joseph Simioni, Star #5123, captures Officer Simioni yelling, "Yo! Come here" as the four subjects hop over the fence. Officer Simoni walks up to the four subjects and states, "Come here. I'm not going to chase you guys. Come here." The four subjects continue to walk away from Officer Simoni, and one subject asks, "What did we do?" Officer Simoni responds, "What do you mean what'd you do? I'm not going to chase you." while walking directly behind the subjects. FTO Ariza yells, "Get him!" as FTO Ariza runs with PPO Aguiniga down the sidewalk after one subject. Officer Simoni enters a CPD squad car to patrol the area for the other subjects.<sup>5</sup>

FTO Ariza's BWC footage captures FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga chasing one subject down a sidewalk and across a four-lane street, where the subject falls in the middle of the street while vehicles traveling in both directions honk their vehicle horns. FTO Ariza calls over the police radio, "I got two runners" and provides the name of the four-lane street. FTO Ariza runs across the street after the subject and yells, "Stop! You're gonna get tased."<sup>6</sup> As FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga pursue the subject down the sidewalk, a white pair of gym shoes appear to fall off the subject's feet and remain near the sidewalk at the 4:46 timestamp. FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga run past the gym shoes as the subject turns a corner into an alleyway. FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga pursue the subject down the alleyway, where they lose sight of the subject and discontinue the foot pursuit. FTO Ariza calls over the police radio, "Three runners and they bailed out."<sup>7</sup>

An OEMC Police Communications Operator asks over the police radio whether FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga were alright, and FTO Ariza responds, "We're alright."<sup>8</sup> While retracing their path, walking back to the location of the property, FTO Ariza tells PPO Aguiniga, "And you pick one. You don't hesitate if they split. At least [the subject] lost [their] gym shoes."<sup>9</sup>

At the 6:46 timestamp, FTO Ariza points to a cell phone laying in the middle of the alley where the subject had just run, laughing and states, "Ah, oh. What's that?" FTO Ariza picks up the cell phone and continues to walk with PPO Aguiniga.

---

<sup>3</sup> Attachment 16, at 2:12 – 3:36.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid., at 3:41 – 4:29.

<sup>5</sup> Attachment 11, at 3:52 – 5:04.

<sup>6</sup> Attachment 16, at 4:29 – 4:45.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid., at 4:47 – 5:37.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid., at 6:22 – 6:26

<sup>9</sup> Ibid., at 6:31 – 6:39.





Around the 7:09 to 7:11 timestamp, as FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga turn the corner and walk down the sidewalk, they approach the subject's gym shoes. PPO Aguiniga states, "Grab [their] shoes, right?" and FTO Ariza does not respond. PPO Aguiniga states, "Grab 'em?" as PPO Aguiniga picks up the pair of gym shoes. While approaching the four-lane street, FTO Ariza states, "[the subject] could've got killed."<sup>10</sup>

FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga approach CPD Officer Brian J. Spreng, Star #5688, who states they detained one of the four subjects and placed them in the backseat of a CPD squad car. Officer Spreng states, "The other two, the other two [subjects] went –" and points in the direction behind FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga. FTO Ariza responds, "One went that way because here's [their] gym shoes that we found" while PPO Aguiniga holds the gym shoes up. Upon approaching the CPD squad car, PPO Aguiniga throws the recovered gym shoes into the grass in front of the CPD squad car, and FTO Ariza picks the subject's shoes up three seconds later.

---

<sup>10</sup> Ibid., at 6:57 – 7:20.



Officer Spreng opens the rear door of the CPD squad car and questions the detained subject with FTO Ariza.<sup>11</sup> Between the 8:43 and 9:03 timestamps, PPO Aguiniga's BWC footage captures FTO Ariza holding the gym shoes in their right hand behind their back while questioning the detained subject seated in the CPD squad car.

FTO Ariza's BWC footage captures Officer Spreng asking the detained subject why they ran, and FTO Ariza asks the detained subject whose property they were in. The detained subject states they were not inside anyone's property and were smoking where CPD members found them. FTO Ariza continues to question the detained subject about why they were inside the property, and the detained subject states they were not inside the property. FTO Ariza tells the detained subject, "Yeah you were – we have a witness that you were inside." The detained subject maintains that they were smoking below the rear stairs of the property and denied attempting to enter the property. After questioning the detained subject, FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga return to the CPD squad car, where FTO Ariza places the gym shoes on the passenger-side floorboard.<sup>12</sup>

FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga drive to the vacant property where they first observed the four subjects. Officer Spreng states, "[the subjects] were just back here smoking weed, man. The door ain't tampered with or nothing." FTO Ariza calls over the police radio that they determined that the subjects did not compromise the vacant property, as nothing was broken, noting the subjects "were just like, smoking something."<sup>13</sup>

After an unidentified CPD member states over the police radio that they detained two additional subjects, FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga relocate to that location. While en route, FTO Ariza states, "We'll give [them] back [their] property, gym shoes." PPO Aguiniga states that the subject they

---

<sup>11</sup> Ibid., at 8:11 – 8:33.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid., at 8:37 – 11:00.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid., at 11:30 – 11:57.

pursued ran in a different direction from where the two subjects are detained by the unidentified CPD member, and Ariza responds, "Well, we gotta see if [they have] socks on."<sup>14</sup>

The BWC footage of responding CPD Sergeant Hitesh H. Patel, Star #1534, begins as they approach CPD Officer Roderick C. Subijano, Star #17954, talking with two subjects. Upon approaching the subjects, Sergeant Patel handcuffs the subjects together and leads them in front of a CPD squad car. Officer Subijano asks one subject if they have any identification, and the subject responds that they are only 15 years old and provides their name and date of birth to Officer Subijano.<sup>15</sup> After hearing FTO Ariza's announcement over the police radio that they confirmed the vacant property was not compromised, Sergeant Patel approaches one of the detained subjects and asks the subject, "You don't have any burglary tools in here?" while touching the outside of the subject's backpack. The subject advises they have school items and snacks in their backpack. Sergeant Patel asks, "Mind if we take a look real quick?" The subject responds, "Go ahead. Take a look." Sergeant Patel unzips the subject's backpack and pulls out bags of potato chips and states, "That's a lot of homework you got in here." Sergeant Patel places the items back inside the subject's backpack and zips the backpack up.<sup>16</sup>

Officer Spreng's BWC footage captures Officer Spreng speaking with Sergeant Patel, stating the subjects were smoking weed near the stairwell of the basement door of the vacant property, but the subjects did not enter the property. Officer Spreng tells Sergeant Patel that two of the subjects walked off, but the other two subjects ran. Officer Spreng states, "So, [FTO Ariza] was chasing them and we went and helped [FTO Ariza] out." Sergeant Patel responds, "[FTO Ariza] wasn't chasing them, [they were] just monitoring them" while Sergeant Patel looks down at their BWC camera. Officer Spreng states, "Yeah, no, no. [FTO Ariza] ran after them, but [FTO Ariza] didn't continue with the pursuit."<sup>17</sup>

FTO Ariza's BWC footage shows FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga arrive at the dispatched location and exit their CPD squad car, leaving the gym shoes on the passenger-side floorboard. They approach the CPD members who detained the two subjects. Neither FTO Ariza nor PPO Aguiniga is observed on BWC footage speaking with the two detained subjects.<sup>18</sup>

An OEMC Police Communications Operator announces over the police radio a new call of a suspicious person who the caller reported is trying to open doors and seems hurt or running from the police. Then, FTO Ariza calls out over the police radio a racial description of the subject that fled from their pursuit. FTO Ariza tells Officer Spreng, "The [subject] we chased, [they are] the one that actually got away." FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga return to the CPD squad car, with the gym shoes on the passenger-side floorboard and leave the scene.<sup>19</sup>

On November 1, 2024, the complainant filed an Original Case Incident Report (OCIR), recorded under RD #JH491257 and Event Query Report #243060448 at CPD's 25<sup>th</sup> District station. Per the OCIR for Theft-Over \$500, the complainant alleged CPD members took their 15-year-old son's property, including their gym shoes, cell phone, and school identification, with a total estimated value of \$1,900.00. The OCIR reported the complainant's son received an Investigatory Stop Receipt with

<sup>14</sup> Ibid., at 12:00 – 14:04.

<sup>15</sup> Attachment 13, at 1:46 – 3:25.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid., at 3:22 – 5:45.

<sup>17</sup> Attachment 10, at 15:10 – 16:07.

<sup>18</sup> Attachment 16, at 14:10 – 16:10.

<sup>19</sup> Ibid., at 16:11 – 19:00.

Star Numbers #5688 (CPD Officer Brian Spreng) and #5123 (CPD Officer Joseph Simioni) listed on it.<sup>20</sup>

During FTO Ariza's interview, BIA asked about the cell phone FTO Ariza recovered from the alley. FTO Ariza stated that the recovered cell phone was "broken" so they made the decision to throw it in a garbage can.<sup>21</sup> FTO Ariza further told BIA they did not direct anyone to inventory the recovered property "because we didn't receive [the property] from an individual."<sup>22</sup> FTO Ariza stated, "receiving something. That's when I inventory. Like regular, what I think of as abandoned or garbage, I don't inventory usually because to me, that's just garbage."<sup>23</sup> When BIA informed FTO Ariza that CPD's General Order on Processing Property Under Department Control, S07-01, does not mention garbage but does include the requirement that when CPD members take custody of any recovered property, they are to at least attempt to find the owner and then ensure the property is inventoried. FTO Ariza responded, "So that means every garbage I see, I should inventory?"<sup>24</sup>

In addition to the recovered cell phone, BIA asked FTO Ariza about the gym shoes they failed to inventory. FTO Ariza told BIA they decided to give the gym shoes to a person experiencing homelessness with whom they were familiar, stating, "The Department wants us to de-escalate, so because of that, I've formed a rapport with this homeless individual. I felt bad for [them]. I gave [them] shoes that I thought was abandoned or garbage."<sup>25</sup> FTO Ariza said they did not and could not establish the owner of the property. When BIA asked FTO Ariza what steps they took to try to establish the owner of the property, FTO Ariza stated, "I went to the officers that had the two [subjects] detained and I think on the recording I asked, 'Did you, do you know this other guy?' and they were not forthcoming. So, I didn't have a name, and I didn't wanna assume that that property was the person we were chasing. I don't like assuming. So, I concluded, I cannot establish ownership of the, you know property."<sup>26</sup>

Although BWC footage captured FTO Ariza making several comments that they were in possession of property belonging to the subject they pursued on foot, including advising Officer Spreng they had one subject's gym shoes and telling PPO Aguiniga they will return the subject's property, FTO Ariza stated to BIA that they did not know who the property belonged to. Further, FTO Ariza stated to BIA that they relocated to the location where CPD members detained two subjects and that they believed they asked the subjects if they knew the subject that FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga chased, but "they were not forthcoming." BWC footage does not capture FTO Ariza asking any of the other three detained subjects about the identity of the fourth subject that FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga pursued.

Per Sections (VII)(C)(1) and (2) of CPD's General Order G03-07 regarding Foot Pursuits, upon "engaging in a foot pursuit, the pursuing Department member will: (1) immediately, or as soon as safely notify the Office of Emergency Management and Communications (OEMC) of a Foot Pursuit and broadcast the Department member's: a. radio ID/beat number; b. location, and; c. reason for the pursuit." and "(2) make reasonable efforts to provide OEMC with additional information and updates during the pursuit, including: a. the direction of travel of the person being pursued and pursuing

<sup>20</sup> Attachment 4.

<sup>21</sup> Attachment 30, at 12:25 – 12:52.

<sup>22</sup> Ibid., at 14:02 – 14:14.

<sup>23</sup> Ibid., at 14:50 – 15:03.

<sup>24</sup> Ibid., at 15:04 – 15:38.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid., at 13:22 – 13:59.

<sup>26</sup> Ibid., at 18:55 – 19:37.



Department member; b. whether the person being pursued is believed to be armed; c. the person's physical description or other identifiers; d. the number of persons being pursued." Upon initiating the foot pursuit, FTO Ariza called over the police radio, "I got two runners" and provided a street name; however, neither FTO Ariza nor PPO Aguiniga informed OEMC of their foot pursuit of that subject. FTO Ariza provided a racial description, without other identifiers, of the subject they chased only after an additional 911 call came in 12 minutes after the foot pursuit.

CPD's General Order G03-07(VII)(E) also requires "[a]bsent exigent circumstances, any Department member unable to promptly and effectively broadcast this information should discontinue the Foot Pursuit. If the Foot Pursuit is discontinued for any reason, immediate efforts for containment should be established and alternatives considered based upon the circumstances and available resources." While FTO Ariza is observed on BWC footage calling over the police radio "I got two runners" and "Three runners and they bailed out," FTO Ariza does not announce that they initiated a foot pursuit and discontinued a foot pursuit with PPO Aguiniga over the police radio.

CPD's General Order G03-07(VII)(H)(2), requires if "pursuing Department members are unable to notify OEMC of the Foot Pursuit at the onset, they will provide OEMC with a notification as outlined in Item VII-C above. This notification will be made when a Foot Pursuit is discontinued for any reason, including if the person being pursued has eluded apprehension and there was no reportable use of force or there was no injury to any Department member, or the person being pursued." Under Event Query Report #2430411605, "Unit 1623"<sup>27</sup> created Foot Pursuit Event #2430412465 at 6:50 p.m., approximately 55 minutes after FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga initiated the foot pursuit.<sup>28</sup>

Additionally, CPD's General Order G03-07(VI)(I) outlines specific circumstances that create a particularly high risk for Department members and the public. Department members should consider alternatives to engaging in or continuing a Foot Pursuit when these circumstances are present, including, "[t]he presence of vehicular traffic in the area of the pursuit and risk of emergency vehicle response by additional Department members create safety concerns." While the other CPD members on scene did not engage in a foot pursuit during this incident, FTO Ariza pursued one subject across a four-lane street with a strong presence of vehicular traffic. On BWC footage, vehicles can be heard honking their vehicle horns at the subject after the subject fell in the middle of the four-lane street. FTO Ariza also commented on BWC how the subject could have "got killed" when crossing the four-lane street, where there was a strong presence of vehicular traffic.

Section XIV(B)(3) of G03-07 requires Department members who engage in a foot pursuit to "document the Foot Pursuit on all appropriate Department reports consistent with the existing Department directives requiring incident reporting, including but not limited to: (3) the appropriate case report consistent with the guidelines established in the Incident Reporting Guide. Within the body of the narrative of the appropriate case report, Department members will include details surrounding the Foot Pursuit: a. RAS [Reasonable Articulate Suspicion] and/or probable cause for any offenses; b. reason and circumstances surrounding the Foot Pursuit; c. course and approximate distance of the Foot Pursuit; d. involved vehicles and Department members; e. any use of force; f. any injuries and/or medical treatment; and g. any property or equipment damage." Nothing in the electronic case file for this Log indicates that FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga completed an Original

---

<sup>27</sup> The Attendance and Assignment sheets showed FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga, assigned to Unit 1623 on October 30, 2024.

<sup>28</sup> Event Query Report #2430411605, p. 6, accessed from Internal Folder.

Case Incident Report detailing the RAS and/or probable cause for the foot pursuit. Moreover, the Foot Pursuit Reports completed by FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga in the electronic case file are incomplete in that neither Foot Pursuit Report listed an "Initial Suspected Charge."<sup>29</sup>

Last, the Investigatory Stop Report (ISR) completed by Officer Subijano for the subject that had their backpack searched by Sergeant Patel is incomplete in that it does not report that the subject's backpack was searched nor that the subject provided consent to CPD members to search their backpack.<sup>30</sup>

Based on OIG's preliminary review, and without taking any position on any other aspect of the investigation or its findings, OIG recommends that BIA reopen Log #2024-0008140 to conduct an analysis of CPD's Rule 14 prohibiting false reports when FTO Ariza stated that they did not inventory the property because they could not determine whether the property belonged to the subject of their foot pursuit, and to determine whether FTO Ariza and PPO Aguiniga failed to follow CPD procedures to immediately notify OEMC of a foot pursuit. Also, OIG recommends BIA determine if Officer Subijano violated any CPD rules by failing to include the search of a subject's backpack in the ISR report.

Please contact Chief Investigative Analyst LaDonna Candia-Flanagan at [lcandia-flanagan@igchicago.org](mailto:lcandia-flanagan@igchicago.org) or (773) 478-5614 with any questions. Please send your response to this recommendation within the time allowed by MCC § 2-56-245. OIG will consider a failure to respond in the time permitted by ordinance to be a declination of our recommendation. OIG looks forward to BIA's response and recommends that BIA incorporate this letter and its response into the electronic case file to provide for a complete record.

Respectfully,



Tobara Richardson  
Deputy Inspector General for Public Safety  
Office of Inspector General

cc: Deborah Witzburg, Inspector General, OIG  
Samuel Chae, Associate General Counsel for Public Safety, OIG  
LaDonna Candia-Flanagan, Chief Investigative Analyst for Public Safety, OIG  
Deputy Chief Traci Walker, BIA, CPD  
Scott Spears, General Counsel, CPD  
Sergeant Connie Flores, BIA, CPD  
Officer Jessica R. Medina, Legal Affairs, CPD

---

<sup>29</sup> Attachments 8 and 9.

<sup>30</sup> Attachment 7.

# Appendix B | Department Response



---

**Re: Recommendation to Reopen Log #2024-0008140 (In CCR)**

---

**From** Flores, Connie D. <Connie.Flores@chicagopolice.org>

**Date** Wed 6/11/2025 12:38 PM

**To** LaDonna Candia-Flanagan <lcandia-flanagan@igchicago.org>; Moore, Timothy L. <Timothy.Moore@chicagopolice.org>

**Cc** Medina, Jessica <Jessica.Medina@chicagopolice.org>; Spears, Scott <Scott.Spears@chicagopolice.org>; Deborah Witzburg <dwitzburg@igchicago.org>; Frierson, Keshia <Keshia.Frierson@chicagopolice.org>; Samuel Chae <schae@igchicago.org>; Tobar Richardson <trichardson@igchicago.org>

WARNING - This message is from outside the Office of Inspector General email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Please report suspicious messages using the "Report Phish" button on the Outlook ribbon.

Good afternoon,

On behalf of Deputy Director Moore, the Bureau of Internal Affairs will not reopen Log Number 2024-0008140.

Thank you,

**Sgt. Connie Flores**  
**Chicago Police Department**  
**Bureau of Internal Affairs**  
Administrative Section  
3510 S. Michigan Ave., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Office 312-745-6215 Ext: 85015, Pax: 0216



This communication, along with any documents, files or attachments, is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy the original communication and its attachments without reading, printing or saving in any manner.

---

**From:** LaDonna Candia-Flanagan <lcandia-flanagan@igchicago.org>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:31 AM

**To:** Moore, Timothy L. <Timothy.Moore@chicagopolice.org>

**Cc:** Flores, Connie D. <Connie.Flores@chicagopolice.org>; Medina, Jessica <Jessica.Medina@chicagopolice.org>; Spears, Scott <Scott.Spears@chicagopolice.org>; Deborah Witzburg <dwitzburg@igchicago.org>; Samuel Chae

<schae@igchicago.org>; Tobar Richardson <trichardson@igchicago.org>

**Subject:** Recommendation to Reopen Log #2024-0008140 (In CCR)

Dear Deputy Director Moore:

On behalf of Deputy Inspector General Tobar Richardson, please find attached to this email a recommendation to reopen Log #2024-0008140. Log #2024-0008140 is currently in command channel review. Please acknowledge your receipt of this correspondence and let me know if you have any questions.

**LaDonna Candia-Flanagan**

Chief Investigative Analyst-Public Safety  
City of Chicago | Office of Inspector General  
231 S. LaSalle, 12th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60604  
lcandia-flanagan@igchicago.org  
(773) 478-5614

(833) Talk-2-IG | (833) 825-5244  
talk2ig@igchicago.org| igchicago.org

**\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL WARNING \*\*** This email originated outside of the Chicago Police Department. **\*\*NEVER CLICK, DOWNLOAD, or OPEN\*\*** unexpected links or attachments. **\*\*NEVER\*\*** provide User ID (PC Number) or Password or other sensitive information. If this email seems suspicious, contact the City of Chicago Help Desk at 312-744-DATA (312-744-3282) or follow instructions on THE WIRE to report Junk Email or SPAM.





**LaDonna Candia-Flanagan**

Chief Investigative Analyst for Public Safety

The City of Chicago Office of Inspector General is an independent, nonpartisan oversight agency whose mission is to promote economy, efficiency, effectiveness, and integrity in the administration of programs and operations of City government.

OIG's authority to produce reports of its findings and recommendations is established in the City of Chicago Municipal Code §§ 2-56-030(d), -035(c), -110, -230, and -240.

For further information about this report, please contact the City of Chicago Office of Inspector General, 231 S. LaSalle Street, 12th Floor, Chicago, IL 60604, or visit our website at [igchicago.org](https://igchicago.org).

#### **Talk to Us**

(833) TALK-2-IG/(833) 825-5244

[talk2ig@igchicago.org](mailto:talk2ig@igchicago.org)

[igchicago.org/talk2ig](https://igchicago.org/talk2ig)

#### **OIG Business Office**

(773) 478-7799

Cover photo courtesy of the Department of Assets, Information and Services.

Alternate formats available upon request.

